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Michigan Recycling Coalition May 5, 2016

## Background

NEMCOG awarded 2014 P2 grant to:

- Identify metrics of successful recycling programs
- Highlight successful community recycling programs
- Calculate the economic and environmental impact of Michigan's recycling rate
- Develop a Waste Characterization of Landfilled Solid Waste

Partnered with PSC and RRS to conduct the work

## Characterization of Landfilled Solid Waste

## Methodology for Characterization Development

- Each study was assigned to a low, medium, or high diversion profile based on the characteristics of the states or communities represented in each study and the per capita amount of landfilled material
- Generic profiles for low, medium, and high diversion states and communities were developed.
- Profiles were adjusted to take into account overall drops in landfill tonnage in recent years as a result of the economic downturn and the changing material mix.
- Each profile consists of an estimate of per capita generation, and the composition of this material, as well as residential and commercial generation and composition from studies that included separate characterizations of those sectors


## Characterization Studies Reviewed

Low- Diversion Profile
Pennsylvania, 2003
Illinois, 2009
Georgia, 2005
Indiana, 2012
US EPA, 2012
Medium - Diversion Profile
Wisconsin, 2003
Connecticut, 2010
California Integrated Waste Management Board, 2009 US EPA, 2012

High- Diversion Profile
Thurston County, WA, 2007
Snohomish County, WA, 2009
Clark County, WA, 2008
Seattle, WA Public Utilities, Residential- 2007;
Commercial - 2008
San Francisco, 2006
Palo Alto, CA, 2006
California Integrated Waste Management Board, 2009
US EPA, 2012

```
Additional Studies Consulted
Delaware, 2007
lowa, 2011
Missouri, 2008
Nebraska, 2009
Oregon, 2002
Vermont, 2002.
```


## Percentage of Categories of Landfilled Materials

|  |  | 몬 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | I0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { y } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{0}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Diversion | 32.69\% | 13.88\% | 5.33\% | 3.28\% | 2.23\% | 8.67\% | 20.79\% | 0.16\% | 6.10\% | 6.87\% |
| Medium Diversion | 21.30\% | 11.63\% | 4.93\% | 2.00\% | 2.50\% | 11.87\% | 23.90\% | 0.27\% | 5.93\% | 15.67\% |
| Low Diversion GL Residential | 26.72\% | 15.92\% | 7.48\% | 2.51\% | 2.40\% | 11.90\% | 20.07\% | 0.37\% | 6.32\% | 6.33\% |
| Medium Diversion GL Residential | 34.12\% | 14.79\% | 4.68\% | 3.45\% | 2.10\% | 6.85\% | 18.78\% | 0.30\% | 3.99\% | 10.95\% |
| Bottle Bill Diversion | 22.81\% | 12.11\% | 5.85\% | 1.73\% | 1.56\% | 10.17\% | 24.39\% | 0.05\% | 5.18\% | 16.17\% |
| Final Diversion Rate | 23.69\% | 12.58\% | 6.08\% | 1.79\% | 1.62\% | 10.57\% | 21.30\% | 0.05\% | 5.37\% | 16.95\% |

## Selected Categories of Landfilled Materials

| Material Type | Current Diversion and Recovery | Estimated <br> Material <br> Landfilled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Grade - general with White and Colored Ledger | 4,849 | 114,234 |
| Mixed/ unspecified Office | 32,792 | 56,492 |
| Low Grade - general (OMG), Boxboard, Paper Bags, Phonebooks other recyclables | 193,055 | 447,557 |
| ONP | 55,699 | 154,466 |
| OCC | 140,265 | 455,686 |
| Cartons, Aseptics and Poly-coated | 26,233 | 11,257 |
| Compostable/ soiled and all other paper |  | 661,642 |
| Paper Subtotal | 452,893 | 1,901,543 |
| PET bottles and containers | 5,742 | 54,616 |
| HDPE Bottles Natural \& Colored | 5,076 | 44,818 |
| Plastic bottles and \#3-7 (general) | 2,153 | 25,015 |
| All other Plastics and Packaging, LDPE, Polystyrene (foam), Durable and Rigid containers and PP tubs) | 58,172 | 885,107 |
| Plastic Subtotal | 71,143 | 1,009,556 |
| Northeast <br> Michigan |  |  |

## Comparison to WMSBF Waste Characterization

| Material | RRS | WMSBF | Difference | Material | RRS | WMSBF | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mixed Paper | 14.40\% | 12.07\% | 2.33\% | Food Waste | 15.10\% | 13.57\% | 1.53\% |
| Newsprint | 1.92\% | 1.22\% | 0.70\% | Wood | 6.65\% | 5.19\% | 1.46\% |
| Corrugated | 7.37\% | 8.42\% | -1.05\% | Other Organics | 7.63\% | 9.05\% | -1.42\% |
| Plastic | 3.60\% | 4.10\% | -0.50\% | Ferrous | 3.96\% | 3.32\% | 0.64\% |
| Plastic Bags | 2.38\% | 2.77\% | -0.39\% | Aluminum | 0.30\% | 0.43\% | -0.13\% |
| Plastic Packaging | 4.66\% | 5.50\% | -0.84\% | Glass | 1.79\% | 2.15\% | -0.36\% |
| PET <br> Beverage | 0.68\% | 0.94\% | -0.26\% | Other Inorganics | 14.95\% | 14.65\% | 0.30\% |
| MI Deposit | 0.31\% | 0.29\% | 0.02\% | Textiles | 2.98\% | 3.65\% | -0.67\% |
| Polystryrene | 0.94\% | 0.71\% | 0.23\% | Bulk Items | 1.44\% | 1.20\% | 0.24\% |
| Yard Waste | 3.14\% | 5.00\% | -1.86\% | Electronics | 1.62\% | 2.49\% | -0.87\% |
| Soil | 3.06\% | 2.36\% | 0.70\% | Household Haz | 1.10\% | 0.93\% | 0.17\% |

## Findings for Waste Characterization

Measuring the composition of disposed wastes in total and by generator sector is dependent on the following guidelines that should be considered for implementation by the State of Michigan

- Well Defined Goal and Objective - Waste composition is changing rapidly - the "Evolving Ton" - so know what you want to achieve
- Defined waste categories that are consistent with other states in the region to allow for comparison - data on up to 80 categories of materials have been collected;
- Targeted generator sampling of the most prevalent commercial business types (e.g., grocery stores, manufacturing, retail malls, etc.) that generate significant quantities of waste;
- Enhanced research into waste generation indicators for certain waste streams, especially C\&D debris, to improve future sampling plans for this waste stream;
- Measuring contamination rates in disposed material (for both particulate matter and moisture) as a means of investigating MRF processing potential;
- Calculating energy and heating values in disposed waste for incineration and thermal conversion processes;
- Determining the composition of residuals from recyclables processing facilities to test recovery efficiency and potential for additional processing.
If Michigan determines that large statewide waste characterization studies should be conducted, it may consider integrating one or more of these tests in the future.


## Recycling Commodity Value

## Value of Landfilled Recyclables

Current Average Commodity Value = \$73/ton Oct. 2015

| CURRENT RECYCLED COMMODITY | TONS | Percent <br> of Total | 5 YEAR <br> Average <br> $\$ /$ TON | 5 YEAR VALUE | OCTOBER <br> 2015 VALUE | CURRENT VALUE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soft Mixed Paper | 401,884 | $32.9 \%$ | $\$ 62.83$ | $\$ 25,248,349$ | $\$ 42.50$ | $\$ 17,080,061$ |
| Special De-ink Quality News (ONP) | 100,403 | $8.2 \%$ | $\$ 82.92$ | $\$ 8,325,098$ | $\$ 57.50$ | $\$ 5,773,184$ |
| Corrugated Containers (OCC) | 296,196 | $24.3 \%$ | $\$ 112.50$ | $\$ 33,322,073$ | $\$ 77.50$ | $\$ 22,955,206$ |
| Aseptic Cartons | 7,317 | $0.6 \%$ | $\$ 49.37$ | $\$ 361,208$ | $\$ 113.75$ | $\$ 832,290$ |
| Glass 3 Mix | 93,493 | $7.7 \%$ | $-\$ 3.53$ | $-\$ 330,341$ | $-\$ 11.50$ | $-\$ 1,075,168$ |
| Aluminum Cans (Sorted, Baled) | 8,943 | $0.7 \%$ | $\$ 1,539.00$ | $\$ 13,762,961$ | $\$ 1,090.00$ | $\$ 9,747,646$ |
| Steel Cans (Sorted, Densified) | 232,242 | $19.0 \%$ | $\$ 113.29$ | $\$ 26,311,046$ | $\$ 90.00$ | $\$ 20,901,751$ |
| PET (Baled, picked up) | 35,500 | $2.9 \%$ | $\$ 420.29$ | $\$ 14,920,491$ | $\$ 210.00$ | $\$ 7,455,039$ |
| Natural HDPE <br> (Bailed, picked up) | 4,065 | $0.3 \%$ | $\$ 708.40$ | $\$ 2,879,580$ | $\$ 520.00$ | $\$ 2,113,752$ |
| Colored HDPE (Bailed, <br> picked up) | 25,067 | $2.1 \%$ | $\$ 486.67$ | $\$ 12,199,237$ | $\$ 360.00$ | $\$ 9,024,093$ |
| Comingled \#3-7, <br> (Baled, picked up) | 16,260 | $1.3 \%$ | $\$ 1.96$ | $\$ 31,923$ | $\$ 50.00$ | $\$ 812,981$ |
| TOTAL of Current Recyclables | $1,221,369$ | $100.0 \%$ |  | $\$ 137,031,626$ |  | $\$ 95,620,835$ |

## Value of Landfilled Recyclables

Current Value $=\$ 73 /$ ton Oct. 2015

| CURRENT RECYCLED COMMODITY | TONS | 5 YEAR <br> Average <br> \$/TON | 5 YEAR VALUE | OCTOBER 2015 VALUE | CUURENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTHER MATERIAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| C\&D Wood | 551,201 | \$15.00 | \$8,268,020 | \$15.00 | \$8,268,020 |
| Textiles | 155,279 | \$4.00 | \$621,118 | \$4.00 | \$621,118 |
| Computers | 23,576 | \$500.00 | \$11,788,230 | \$125.00 | \$2,947,057 |
| LDPE (includes some bags, film) | 248,637 | \$278.00 | \$69,121,030 | \$120.00 | \$29,836,416 |
| Durable and Rigid containers (HDPE Rigid (Baled) | 89,834 | \$332.00 | \$29,825,034 | \$310.00 | \$27,848,676 |
| TOTAL Other MATERIAL | 517,327 |  | \$111,355,411 |  | \$61,253,267 |
| TOTAL | 1,738,696 |  | \$248,387,037 |  | \$156,874,102 |

## Value of Current Recycling

## Current Value = \$73/ton Oct. 2015

| CURRENT RECYCLED COMMODITY | TONS | Percent <br> of Total | 5 YEAR <br> Average <br> $\$ / T O N$ | 5 YEAR VALUE | OCTOBER <br> 2015 VALUE | CUURENT VALUE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soft Mixed Paper | 225,875 | $25.7 \%$ | $\$ 62.83$ | $\$ 14,190,625$ | $\$ 42.50$ | $\$ 9,599,707$ |
| Special De-ink Quality News (ONP) | 56,431 | $6.4 \%$ | $\$ 82.92$ | $\$ 4,679,053$ | $\$ 57.50$ | $\$ 3,244,770$ |
| Corrugated Containers (OCC) | 166,475 | $18.9 \%$ | $\$ 112.50$ | $\$ 18,728,396$ | $\$ 77.50$ | $\$ 12,901,784$ |
| Aseptic Cartons | 4,112 | $0.5 \%$ | $\$ 49.37$ | $\$ 203,014$ | $\$ 113.75$ | $\$ 467,782$ |
| Glass 3 Mix | 182,685 | $20.8 \%$ | $-\$ 3.53$ | $-\$ 645,488$ | $-\$ 11.50$ | $-\$ 2,100,882$ |
| Aluminum Cans (Sorted, Baled) | 6,394 | $0.7 \%$ | $\$ 1,539.00$ | $\$ 9,840,093$ | $\$ 1,090.00$ | $\$ 6,969,267$ |
| Steel Cans (Sorted, Densified) | 166,046 | $18.9 \%$ | $\$ 113.29$ | $\$ 18,811,587$ | $\$ 90.00$ | $\$ 14,944,107$ |
| PET (Baled, picked up) | 31,222 | $3.6 \%$ | $\$ 420.29$ | $\$ 13,122,306$ | $\$ 210.00$ | $\$ 6,556,574$ |
| Natural HDPE <br> (Bailed, picked up) | 3,575 | $0.4 \%$ | $\$ 708.40$ | $\$ 2,532,539$ | $\$ 520.00$ | $\$ 1,859,007$ |
| Colored HDPE (Bailed, <br> picked up) | 22,046 | $2.5 \%$ | $\$ 486.67$ | $\$ 10,729,011$ | $\$ 360.00$ | $\$ 7,936,529$ |
| Comingled \#3-7, <br> (Baled, picked up) | 14,300 | $1.6 \%$ | $\$ 1.96$ | $\$ 28,076$ | $\$ 50.00$ | $\$ 715,003$ |
| TOTAL of Current Recyclables | 879,161 | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 104.89$ | $\$ 92,219,212$ | $\$ 71.77$ | $\$ 63,093,646$ |

## Value of Current Recycling Other Material

| RECYCLED COMMODITY | TONS | 5 YEAR <br> Average <br> $\$ / T O N$ | 5 YEAR VALUE | OCTOBER <br> 2015 VALUE | CUURENT VALUE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Types of Operation | Jobs per <br> $10,000 ~ T P Y$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Computer Reuse | 296 |
| Textile Reclamation | 85 |
| Misc. Durables Reuse | 62 |
| Wooden Pallet Repair | 28 |
| Recycling-based |  |
| Manufacturers | 25 |
| Paper Mills | 18 |
| Glass Product Manufacturers | 26 |
| Plastic Product Manufacturers | 93 |
| Conventional Materials | 10 |
| Recovery | 4 |
| Composting | 1 |
| Landfill \& Incineration |  |


| Economic Development Impact |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| per 10,000 tons of additional diversion |  |$|$| (single-stream material) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Capital Investment[1] |  |
| Collection Trucks | $\$ 281,250$ |
| Carts | $\$ 400,000$ |
| MRF Infrastructure | $\$ 1,181,250$ |
|  | $\$ 350,000$ |
| Market Efficiencies[2] | $\$ 1,510,200$ |
| Avoided Disposal | $\$ 1,860,200$ |
| Material Value |  |
|  | 10 |
| Job Growth[3] | 10 |
| Material Collection | 9 |
| MRF Operations | 4 |
| Plastic Manufacturing | 10 |
| Glass Manufacturing | $\underline{25}$ |
| Paper Mills | 68 |
| Recycling-Based Manufacturers |  |
|  |  |

## Recycling Business Proposition

The "Evolving Ton: Change in Paper and Plastic 2000-2013

## PAPER:

- 19,140,000 ton loss
- 22\% decrease
- Mostly printed paper
- Online shopping


## PLASTIC:

- 6,960,000 ton gain
- 27\% increase
- Packaging is $43 \%$
- Durables on the rise


## THE MRF BUSINESS PROPOSITION



## SS Inbound Materials - Stream is changing

## Inbound SS Composition



- Fiber represents $57 \%$ of the inbound stream
- Residue is $16-19 \%$ of the inbound stream
- Glass makes up $18 \%$ of the material processed at our MRFs.
- $34 \%$ of inbound material has negative value - glass/residue


## THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY

## Key Players and Drivers for Change



## Thank You

David Stead, V.P.
Principal and Senior Economist
Resource Recycling Systems
dstead@recycle.com
734-846-9638 (mobile)

